

System-Level Programming

12 Program Structure and Modules

J. Kleinöder, D. Lohmann, V. Sieh, P. Wägemann

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 4
Systemsoftware

Friedrich-Alexander-Universität
Erlangen-Nürnberg

Summer Term 2024

<http://sys.cs.fau.de/lehre/ss24>



- Software design: general considerations about structure of a program **before** the actual programming/implementation starts
 - Goal: Partitioning of the problem in manageable sub-problems
- There exists a multitude of different approaches for software design
 - Object-oriented approach
 - decomposition into classes and objects
 - designed for Java or C++
 - Top-down design/**functional decomposition**
 - state of the art until the mid 80s
 - decomposition into functions and function calls
 - design constraints for FORTRAN, COBOL, Pascal, or C



Software Design

- Software design: general considerations about structure of a program **before** the actual programming/implementation starts
 - Goal: Partitioning of the problem in manageable sub-problems
- There exists a multitude of different approaches for software design
 - Object-oriented approach
 - decomposition into classes and objects
 - designed for Java or C++
 - Top-down design/**functional decomposition**
 - state of the art until the mid 80s
 - decomposition into functions and function calls
 - design constraints for FORTRAN, COBOL, Pascal, or C

System-level software is still designed with the **functional decomposition** in mind.



Example Project: A Weather Station

■ Typical embedded system

■ multiple sensors


- air speed
- air pressure
- temperature

■ multiple actuators (here: output devices)

- LCD-screen
- PC via RS232
- PC via USB


■ Sensors and actuators are connected to the μ C via different bus systems

- I²C
- RS232



Example Project: A Weather Station

- Typical embedded system
 - multiple **sensors**
 - air speed
 - air pressure
 - temperature
 - multiple **actuators** (here: output devices)
 - LCD-screen
 - PC via RS232
 - PC via USB
 - Sensors and actuators are connected to the μ C via different **bus systems**
 - I²C
 - RS232




What does **functional decomposition** of the software look like?



Functional Decomposition: Example

Functional decomposition of the weather station (extract):


1. read sensor data
2. process data (e. g., smoothing)
3. output data
4. wait and eventually re-start again with step 1



Functional Decomposition: Example

Functional decomposition of the weather station (extract):


1. read sensor data
 - 1.1 read the temperature sensor
 - 1.2 read the pressure sensor
 - 1.3 read the air speed sensor
2. process data (e. g., smoothing)
3. output data
4. wait and eventually re-start again with step 1



Functional Decomposition: Example

Functional decomposition of the weather station (extract):


1. read sensor data
 - 1.1 read the temperature sensor
 - 1.1.1 initialize I²C data transfer
 - 1.1.2 read data from the I²C-bus
 - 1.2 read the pressure sensor
 - 1.3 read the air speed sensor
2. process data (e. g., smoothing)
3. output data
4. wait and eventually re-start again with step 1



Functional Decomposition: Example

Functional decomposition of the weather station (extract):


1. read sensor data
 - 1.1 read the temperature sensor
 - 1.1.1 initialize I²C data transfer
 - 1.1.2 read data from the I²C-bus
 - 1.2 read the pressure sensor
 - 1.3 read the air speed sensor
2. process data (e. g., smoothing)
3. output data
 - 3.1 sending data via RS232
 - 3.2 refresh the LCD
4. wait and eventually re-start again with step 1



Functional Decomposition: Example


Functional decomposition of the weather station (extract):

1. read sensor data
 - 1.1 read the temperature sensor
 - 1.1.1 initialize I²C data transfer
 - 1.1.2 read data from the I²C-bus
 - 1.2 read the pressure sensor
 - 1.3 read the air speed sensor
2. process data (e. g., smoothing)
3. output data
 - 3.1 sending data via RS232
 - 3.1.1 choose baud rate and parity (once)
 - 3.1.2 write data
 - 3.2 refresh the LCD
4. wait and eventually re-start again with step 1



Functional Decomposition: Problems

- The obtained decomposition does only account for the structure of the **activities**; however, not for the structure of the **data**
- Risk: Functions “wildly” work on a vast amount of unstructured data
~> inadequate separation of concerns



Functional Decomposition: Problems

- The obtained decomposition does only account for the structure of the **activities**; however, not for the structure of the **data**
- Risk: Functions “wildly” work on a vast amount of unstructured data
↳ inadequate separation of concerns

Principle of **separation of concerns**

Parts that have **nothing in common** with each other should be placed **separately!**

Separation of concerns is a **fundamental principle** in computer science (likewise in each other engineering discipline).



Access to Data (Variables)

- Variables have

↔ 10-1

- Scope "Who can access the variable?"
- Lifespan "How long is the memory accessible?"

- These get set by position (pos) and storage class (sc)

| pos | sc | ↔ | scope | lifespan |
|--------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| local | <i>none</i> , <i>auto</i> | | definition → end of block | definition → end of block |
| | <i>static</i> | | definition → end of block | program start → program end |
| global | <i>none</i> | | unrestricted | program start → program end |
| | <i>static</i> | | whole module | program start → program end |



Access to Data (Variables)

■ Variables have

↔ 10-1

- Scope “Who can access the variable?”
- Lifespan “How long is the memory accessible?”

■ These get set by position (pos) and storage class (sc)

| pos | sc | ↔ | scope | lifespan |
|--------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| local | <i>none</i> , <i>auto</i> | | definition → end of block | definition → end of block |
| | <i>static</i> | | definition → end of block | program start → program end |
| global | <i>none</i> | | unrestricted | program start → program end |
| | <i>static</i> | | whole module | program start → program end |

```
int a = 0;           // a: global
static int b = 47;  // b: local to module

void f(void) {
    auto int a = b; // a: local to function (auto optional)
                  //   destroyed at end of block
    static int c = 11; // c: local to function, not destroyed
}
```



- Scope and lifespan should be chosen **restrictively**
 - Scope as **restricted as possible!**
 - prevent unwanted access from other modules (debug)
 - hide information of implementation (black-box principle, *information hiding*)
 - Lifespan as **short as possible!**
 - save memory space
 - especially relevant for μ Controller platforms

↔ 1-4



- Scope and lifespan should be chosen **restrictively**
 - Scope as **restricted as possible!**
 - prevent unwanted access from other modules (debug)
 - hide information of implementation (black-box principle, *information hiding*)
 - Lifespan as **short as possible!**
 - save memory space
 - especially relevant for μ Controller platforms

↔ 1-4

Consequence: Avoid global variables!


- global variables are visible everywhere
- global variables require memory for the entire program execution

Rule: Declaration of variables with **minimal scope & lifespan**



Solution: Modularisation

- Decomposition of related **data** & **functions** into dedicated, surrounding units \rightsquigarrow **modules**



What is a Module?

- **module** := (*<set of functions>*, *<set of data>*, *<interface>*) (↦ “class” in Java)
- Modules are larger programming components ↔ 9-1
 - problem oriented aggregation of functions and data
 - ↪ separation of concerns
 - enable easy reuse of components
 - enable simple exchange of components
 - hide information of implementation: **black-box** principle
 - ↪ access only by means of the module’s interface



What is a Module?

- **module** := (*<set of functions>*, *<set of data>*, *<interface>*) (↪ “class” in Java)
- Modules are larger programming components ↪ 9-1
 - problem oriented aggregation of functions and data
 - ↪ separation of concerns
 - enable easy reuse of components
 - enable simple exchange of components
 - hide information of implementation: **black-box** principle
 - ↪ access only by means of the module’s interface

Module ↪ Abstraction

↪ 4-1

- The interface of a module **abstracts**
 - from the actual implementation of the functions
 - from the internal representation and use of data



- In C, the modules are not part of the language itself, instead it is handled solely **idiomatically** (by using **conventions**) ↔ 3-17
 - module interface ↔ .h-file (contains declarations ↔ 9-9)
 - module implementation ↔ .c-file (contains definitions ↔ 9-4)
 - module usage ↔ `#include <module.h>`

```
extern void Init(uint16_t br);  
extern void Send(char ch);  
...
```

RS232.h: Interface / Contract (public)
Declaration of provided functions
(and data)



- In C, the modules are not part of the language itself, ↔ 3-17
 instead it is handled solely **idiomatically** (by using **conventions**)
 - module interface ↔ .h-file (contains declarations ↔ 9-9)
 - module implementation ↔ .c-file (contains definitions ↔ 9-4)
 - module usage ↔ #include <module.h>

```
extern void Init(uint16_t br);
extern void Send(char ch);
...
```

RS232.h: Interface / Contract (public)
 Declaration of provided functions
 (and data)

```
#include <RS232.h>
static uint16_t  baud = 2400;
static char      sendBuf[16];
...
void Init(uint16_t br) {
  ...
  baud = br;
}
void Send(char ch) {
  sendBuf[...] = ch;
  ...
}
```


RS232.c: Implementation (not public)
 Definition of provided functions
 (and data)

Possible module-internal helper
 functions and variables (static)

Inclusion of the own interface
 ensures that the contract is
 adhered to



- C module **exports** a set of defined **symbols**
 - all functions and global variables (↪ “**public**” in Java)
 - export can be prevented with **static** (↪ “**private**” in Java)
(↪ restriction of scope ↔ 12-5)
- Export takes place during compilation (.c file → .o file)



source file (**foo.c**)

```
uint16_t a;  
// public  
static uint16_t b;  
// private  
  
void f(void) // public  
{ ... }  
static void g(int) // private  
{ ... }
```

object file (**foo.o**)

Symbols **a** and **f** are exported.

Symbols **b** and **g** are declared as **static** and, therefore, they are not exported.



- C module **imports** a set of not-defined **symbols**
 - functions and global variables that are used but not defined in the module itself
 - during compilation, they are marked as **unresolved**

source file (**bar.c**)

```
extern uint16_t a; // declare
extern void f(void); // declare


void main(void) { // public
    a = 0x4711; // use
    f(); // use
}
```

object file (**bar.o**)


Symbol **main** is exported.
Symbols **a** and **f** are unresolved.



- The actual resolution is performed by the linker



- The actual resolution is performed by the **linker**



Linking is **not type safe!**

- Information about types is not anymore present in the object files
- Resolution by the linker takes place **exclusively** via **names of symbols** (identifier)
- ↪ type safety has to be ensured during **compilation**
- ↪ uniform declaration with the help of a common header file



- Elements from other modules have to be declared

- functions with the `extern` declaration

↔ 9-9

```
extern void f(void);
```

- global variables with `extern`

```
extern uint16_t a;
```

The keyword `extern` differentiates between a declaration and definition of a variable.

- Declarations are usually part of the `header file`, which module developers make available

- interface of the module (↪ “`interface`” in Java)

- exported functions of the module
- exported global variables of the module
- module-specific constants, types, and macros
- usage by including

(↪ “`import`” in Java)

- is **included by the module itself** to ensure a match of declaration and definition

(↪ “`implements`” in Java)



module interface: foo.h

```
// foo.h
#ifndef _F00_H
#define _F00_H

// declarations
extern uint16_t a;
extern void f(void);

#endif // _F00_H
```

module implementation foo.c

```
// foo.c
#include <foo.h>

// definitions
uint16_t a;
void f(void) {
    ...
}
```

module usage bar.c


(compare for ↔ 12-13)

```
// bar.c
extern uint16_t a;
extern void f(void);
#include <foo.h>

void main(void) {
    a = 0x4711;
    f();
}
```




Back to the Example: Weather Station



- Each module consists of a header and one or more implementation file(s)
 - .h file defines the interface
 - .c file implements the interface, includes the .h-file to ensure a match of declaration and definition
- Usage of the module by including the specific .h file



Back to the Example: Weather Station



- Each module consists of a header and one or more implementation file(s)
 - .h file defines the interface
 - .c file implements the interface, includes the .h-file to ensure a match of declaration and definition
- Usage of the module by including the specific .h file
- This is similar for libraries



Summary

- Principle of separation of concerns \rightsquigarrow modularization
 - reuse and exchange of well-defined components
 - hiding of implementation details
- In C, the concept of modules is not part of the language, therefore, it is realized **idiomatically** by conventions
 - module interface \mapsto .h-file (contains declarations)
 - module implementation \mapsto .c-file (contains definitions)
 - use of module \mapsto `#include <module.h>`
 - **private** symbols \mapsto define as `static`
- The actual combination is done by the **linker**
 - resolution exclusively by symbol names
 - \rightsquigarrow **Linking is not type safe!**
 - type safety has to be ensured during compilation
 - \rightsquigarrow with the help of a common header file

